

Chapter 4 The Audiolingual Method

Background: Dissatisfaction

- A modified version of the Direct Method or a reading-based approach recommended in the Coleman Report provided little attempt to treat language content systematically.
- That is, unlike the British Situational Language Teaching, there were in US no principles of selection, gradation and presentation of language content, such as grammar, sentence patterns and vocabulary.

Background: New demand

- The entry of the US into WWII put forward an urgent need to train military staff as interpreters, translators or code-room assistants.
- The US government commissioned universities to develop language programs for military purpose.
- The Army Specialized Training Program (ASTP) was established in 1942.
- Objectives: train learners to attain conversational proficiency in a variety of foreign languages.

The Beginning of ASTP

- ASTP started from a method developed by Leonard Bloomfield at Yale, as a part of their linguistic research, called the "informant method":
- Purpose: train linguists and anthropologists to master American Indian and other languages.

The Beginning of ASTP

- Techniques:
 - no textbooks
 - a native speaker as the informant served as source of language content
 - A linguist, who did not know the target language, supervised, guided and took part in the learning experience;
 - Learners studied 10 hours a day, 6 days a week, with 15 hours of drill with native speakers and 30 hours of private study over 2-3 six week sessions.
- This is the system adopted by the army, and in small classes of mature and highly motivated learners, excellent results, therefore, were achieved.

The End of ASTP

- ASTP lasted only about 2 years and attracted considerable attention.
- The suitability in regular language program was much argued:
 - Derived from intensity of contact with TL, not from a well-developed methodological basis
 - > Innovative only in terms of procedures, not in approach
 - > The value of an intensive, oral-based approach EFL learning was convincing.

Background: New demand

• More linguists involved in EFL teaching as America became an international power

- Growing demand for EFLT expertise since foreign students entered US to study in universities
- These factors led to the emergence of the American approach to ESL— Audiolingualism.

The Audiolingual Method: Beginning

- In 1939, the Michigan University developed the first English Language Institute in the US, to train teachers as EFL or ESL.
- Charles Fries, director of the institute and a structural linguist, applied the principles of structural linguistics to language teaching.
- The starting point was grammar or "structure" of language: its basic sentence patterns and grammatical structures.
- Systematic attention on pronunciation and sentence patterns
- Students' tasks: drill, drill, and more drill

The Audiolingual Method: Emergence

- More universities were involved in establishing similar programs and developing courses and materials for the teaching of English.
- More linguists became active in both home and broad supervising programs.
- In 1950, textbooks for teaching English to speakers of foreign languages were developed.
- "General form" of each lesson: pronunciation, morphology, grammar, drills and exercises.

The Audiolingual Method: Emergence

• In 1952, Guidelines were published, such as *Structural Notes and Corpus: A Basis for the Preparation of Materials to Teach English as a Foreign Languages*.

- Between 1953 and 1956, the famous *Spoken Language* series were published.
- Fries's principles in *Teaching and learning English as a foreign language* (1945): The problems of learning a FL were attributed to the conflict of different structural systems, such as grammatical and phonological patterns; contrastive analysis helps to detect problems of interference of NL.

The Audiolingual Method: Emergence

- The approach developed by Fries and others was known as the Oral Approach, the Aural Oral Approach, and the Structural Approach.
- It influenced the way of teaching languages in US throughout the 50s, and more materials were produced and widely used.
- Its linguistic principles incorporated the most advanced scientific approach to language teaching.

Characteristics of the Aural-Oral Approach

 Order of teaching a language: aural training first, then pronunciation, followed by speaking, reading, and writing
Language was identified with speech, and speech was taught

through structure.

The Major Factors Promoting the Development

- Need for a radical change and rethinking of FL teaching methodology
- Late 1950s, reaction to Sputnik, "quick learning" of foreign languages
- Increased attention and more intensive effort were given to FL teaching
- The National Defense Education Act (1958) provided funds for the study and analysis of modern languages.

The Major Factors Promoting the Development

- **O** Teachers were encouraged to receive training.
 - Language teaching specialists drew on experiences of the Army Method and the Aural-oral Approach, and add their insights from structural linguistic theory, contrastive analysis and behaviorist psychology. All these led to the Audiolingual Method.

Effects of the Audiolingual Method

- It was the incorporation of linguistic principles of Aural-Oral Approach with the state-of-the-art (最先进的) psychological learning theory in the mid-fifties that led the method to coming into being.
- widely adopted for teaching FL in North American colleges and universities.
- Guided by its principles, *Lado English Series* (1977), and *English 900* (1964) were published.
- Though beginning to fall in the late 60s, it continues to be widely used today.

Approach: Theory of Language

- **O** Structural linguistics in 1950s as a reaction to traditional grammar
- The reaction was prompted by the movement toward positivism(实证主义) and empiricism (经验主义), promoted by Darwin's *On the Origin of Species* and by an increased interest in non-European languages.
- By the 1930s, the scientific approach to the study of language: collecting examples of what speakers said and analyzing them at different levels of structural organization--- phonemic, morphological and syntactic systems

以上内容仅为本文档的试下载部分,为可阅读页数的一半内容。如要下载或阅读全文,请访问: <u>https://d.book118.com/668116016046006051</u>